

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES  
MONDAY, AUGUST 21, 2000  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

S070018 In re the Marriage of Candace Pendleton and Barry I. Fireman

-----  
Candace Pendleton, Respondent,

v.

Barry I. Fireman, Appellant.

The judgment of the Court of Appeal is affirmed.

Baxter, J.

We Concur:

Werdegar, J.

Chin, J.

Brown, J.

Hastings, J.\*

Concurring Opinion by Mosk, J.

Dissenting Opinion by Kennard, J.

\*Hon. J. Gary Hastings, Associate Justice, Court of Appeal, Second District, Division 4, assigned by the Chief Justice pursuant to article VI, section 6, of the California Constitution.

S071728      City of Barstow et al., Plaintiffs and Respondents,  
                         v.  
                 Mojave Water Agency et al.,  
                 Defendants, Cross-complainants and Respondents;  
                 Jess Ranch Water Company, Cross-defendant and Appellant.

-----  
Mojave Water Agency et al., Cross-complainants and Respondents,  
                 v.  
Manuel Cardozo et al., Cross-defendants and Appellants.

We affirm the Court of Appeal judgment in all respects except that we reverse its judgment as to the Jess Ranch appeal. We therefore remand the matter to the Court of Appeal for further proceedings consistent with this conclusion.

Chin, J.

We Concur:  
George, C.J.  
Mosk, J.  
Kennard, J.  
Baxter, J.  
Brown, J.  
Johnson,\*

\*Hon. Earl Johnson, Jr., Associate Justice, Court of Appeal, Second District, Division 7, assigned by the Chief Justice pursuant to article VI, section 6, of the California Constitution.

S079760      In re the Marriage of  
Susann Margreth Bonds and Barry Lamar Bonds

-----  
Susann Margreth Bonds, Appellant,

v.

Barry Lamar Bonds, Respondent.

The judgment of the Court of Appeal is reversed to the extent that it reversed the judgment of the trial court on the issue of the voluntariness of the premarital agreement. The matter is remanded to the Court of Appeal to determine whether, consistently with this opinion, its remand to the trial court for reevaluation of the termination of spousal support remains necessary, and to consider other issues it declared moot in light of its determination that the agreement was not enforceable: namely, (1) whether the trial court denied Sun due process by excluding evidence supporting her claim that Barry should be estopped from enforcing the agreement, and (2) whether the trial court erred in various respects in interpreting and enforcing the agreement.

George, C.J.

We Concur:

Mosk, J.

Kennard, J.

Baxter, J.

Werdegar, J.

Chin, J.

Brown, J.

S014664      People, Respondent

v.

Mario Lewis Gray, Appellant

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's brief is extended to and including September 11, 2000.

S025519 People, Respondent

v.

Colin Raker Dickey, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to and including September 13, 2000.

S046176 People, Respondent

v.

Glen Cornwell, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to and including October 10, 2000.

S053228 People, Respondent

v.

Andre Stephen Alexander, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the appellant is granted to and including October 12, 2000, to request correction of the record on appeal. Counsel for appellant is ordered to notify the Clerk of the Supreme Court in writing as soon as the act as to which the Court has granted an extension of time has been completed.

S081934 People, Respondent

v.

Jose Juan Garcia, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's reply brief on the merits is extended to and including September 18, 2000.

No further extensions are contemplated.

S086474 In re Ralph Michael Yeoman

on

Habeas Corpus

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is extended to and including September 8, 2000.

S086738 Theresa Aguilar, Petitioner

v.

Atlantic Richfield Corp. et al., Respondents

On application of respondents and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to and including October 13, 2000.

S052808 People, Respondent

v.

Richard Cameron Gamache, Appellant

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Richard Jay Moller is hereby appointed to represent appellant Richard Cameron Gamache for the direct appeal in the above automatic appeal now pending in this court.